

# **BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION**

- 1	DEFURE THE ARIZONA CORFORATION		
2	<del></del>	Anzona Corporation Commission	
3	BOB STUMP - Chairman		
4	BRENDA BURNS		2014
5	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH	DOCKETED BY	GAL
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF UNITE PRIVATE NETWORKS, LLC, FOR APPROVAL OF A CERTIFICATE OF		DOCKET NO. T-20534A-07-0346
7			DECISION NO
8	CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVID FACILITIES-BASED TELECOMMUNICATION		00000
9	SERVICES IN ARIZONA.		<u>ORDER</u>
10	DATE OF HEARINGS:	October 15 April 28, 20	5, 2013 and January 9, 2014 (Hearings) and 014 (Procedural Conference)
11	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, Arizona	
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Yvette B. Kinsey	
13	APPEARANCES:	Mr. Giancarlo G. Estrada, ESTRADA-LEGAL, P.C., on behalf of Applicant; and	
14	Ms. Bridget A. Humphrey, Staff Attorney, Legal		
15 16	Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation.		
17	BY THE COMMISSION:		
18	On June 1, 2007, Unite Private Networks, LLC ("UPN" or "Company") filed with the		
19	Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience		
20	and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide facilities-based long distance and facilities-based local exchange		
21	telecommunication services in Arizona.		
22	On June 29, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Letter of		
23	Insufficiency and its First Set of Data Requests.		
24	On July 16, 2007, Staff docketed a second Letter of Insufficiency.		
25	On August 13, 2007, UPN filed a response to Staff's First Set of Data Requests.		
26	On August 17, 2009, by Procedural Order, Staff was directed to file an update with the		
27	Commission regarding the status of the above-captioned application.		
28	On September 30, 2009, Staff filed an update on UPN's application, stating that the Company		

intended to continue pursuing the application, and that Staff recommended that the docket not be administratively closed.

On October 7, 2011, Lance J.M. Steinhart, Esq., filed a Notice of Appearance on behalf of UPN.

On November 28, 2011, UPN docketed its response to Staff's Second Set of Data Requests, which included an amended application. UPN's amended application requests authority to provide facilities-based private line telecommunication services in Arizona.

On March 27, 2013, UPN docketed its response to Staff's Third Set of Data Requests.

On March 28, 2013, UPN filed additional information related to its application.

On August 9, 2013, Staff filed its Staff Report recommending approval of UPN's application, subject to certain conditions.

On August 21, 2013, by Procedural Order, this matter was set for hearing to begin on October 15, 2013, and other procedural deadlines were established.

On September 19, 2013, UPN filed its Notice of Filing of Affidavit of Publication, indicating that notice of the amended application and hearing date had been published in the *Arizona Republic*, a newspaper of general circulation in Arizona.

On October 15, 2013, a full public hearing was commenced before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Commission. Staff appeared through counsel. UPN failed to appear. No members of the public appeared to give comments on the application. The hearing was vacated because the Company failed to appear for the hearing.

On October 16, 2013, by Procedural Order, UPN was directed to file a pleading discussing whether UPN had a continuing desire to pursue a CC&N to provide telecom services in Arizona; UPN was placed on notice that the failure to timely file the above-mentioned pleading may result in this docket being administratively closed; and the timeclock in this matter was suspended.

On October 30, 2013, UPN filed its Notice of Intent to Pursue CC&N and Notice of Appearance and Substitution of Counsel.

On December 9, 2013, by Procedural Order, the hearing on UPN's application was rescheduled to begin on January 9, 2014.

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Exhibit A-1, Attachment A.

On January 9, 2014, a hearing was held as scheduled. Staff and UPN appeared through counsel and presented testimony and evidence. At the conclusion of the hearing, UPN was directed to file a late-filed exhibit in support of its application.

On January 16, 2014, UPN filed a late-filed exhibit.

On April 28, 2014, a telephonic procedural conference was held. UPN and Staff appeared telephonically through counsel. Discussions were held on Staff's recommendation regarding waiver of A.A.C. R14-2-1115.C.3.

On April 29, 2014, Staff filed a Notice of Filing stating that neither Staff nor UPN could determine that the Company had requested a waiver of A.A.C. R14-2-1115.C.3. Therefore, Staff and the Company agreed that Staff's recommendation for the waiver should be withdrawn and that the waiver should not be ordered by the Commission in this matter.

On April 30, 2014, UPN filed a Request for Expedited Processing, requesting that this matter to be considered and acted upon by the Commission at its May Open Meeting and waiving the 10-day period for filing exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order.

Upon receipt of the post-hearing documents, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. UPN is a foreign limited liability company organized under the laws of Delaware and authorized to transact business in Arizona.1
  - 2. UPN's principal offices are located in Liberty, Missouri.
  - UPN is a wholly owned subsidiary of UPN Intermediate Holdings, LLC.<sup>2</sup> 3.

According to Staff, on December 10, 2010, Ridgemont Equity Partners, an affiliate of Bank of America, acquired 100 percent of the outstanding members' interests of UPN and its affiliate UPN-Illinois. UPN and UPN-Illinois are now wholly owned subsidiaries of UPN Intermediate Holdings, LLC.

UPN shall docket conforming tariffs pages for each service within its CC&N within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first. The tariffs submitted shall coincide with the Application and state that the Company does not collect advances, deposits and/or prepayments from its customers; and

<sup>3</sup> Exhibit A-2 at 1.

26

27

28

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

b. UPN shall abide by the Commission adopted rules that address Universal Service in Arizona. A.A.C. R14-2-1204(A) indicates that all telecommunications service providers that interconnect into the public switched telephone network shall provide funding for the Arizona Universal Service Fund ("AUSF"). The Company will make the necessary monthly payments required by A.A.C. R14-2-1204(B).

## **Technical Capability**

- 9. UPN is currently certified to provide telecommunication services in thirteen states/jurisdictions and the Company provides telecom services in approximately 20 states.<sup>4</sup>
- 10. UPN's witness stated that the Company proposes providing private line, point-to-point connection using data-only fiber optic technology.<sup>5</sup> UPN states its primary customers in Arizona will be school districts and other DS3 level or above large companies; however, the Company may provide services to some small business customers.<sup>6</sup>
- 11. UPN's top eight officers possess a combined total of 174 years in the telecommunications industry.
- 12. There are no current plans for UPN to have employees in Arizona. UPN does have employees and offices in the following states: Missouri; Nebraska; Texas; Georgia; and Colorado. 8
- 13. Staff believes UPN has the technical capabilities to provide its proposed services in Arizona.

#### Financial Capabilities

- 14. UPN provided financial statements for its parent Company for the year ending December 31, 2012. The Company reported Total Assets of \$148.3 million; Total Equity of \$47.9 million; and a net loss of \$124,000. 9
- 15. UPN's amended application states that it will not collect advances, deposits, and/or prepayments.<sup>10</sup>
- 16. UPN's amended application states that the Company will rely on the financial resources of its parent company to provide its proposed services in Arizona.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>5</sup> Tr. at 8.

26 Tr. at 8 and 13.

<sup>7</sup> Tr. at 15.

27 8 Id.

28 Exhibit S-1 at 2.

10 Exhibit A-2 at 5.

74486

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Tr. at 6.

#### **Rates and Charges**

- 17. Staff believes that UPN's rates will be heavily influenced by the market. Staff states that UPN will have to compete with other incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs") and various competitive local exchange ("CLECs"), and interexchange carriers ("IXCs") in Arizona in order to gain new customers. Based on the competitive environment that UPN will be operating in, Staff believes that the Company will not be able to exert any market power. Therefore, the competitive process should result in just and reasonable rates.
- 18. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1109, the rates charged for each service UPN proposes to provide may not be less than the Company's total service long-run incremental cost of providing that service.
- 19. Staff states that although it considered the Company's fair value rate base of \$185,000, Staff did not give it much weight in its analysis because UPN's rates in Arizona will be heavily influenced by the market.
- 20. UPN's proposed tariff states that the Company may offer rates based on an individual case basis ("ICB") for the provision of its private line services. UPN is placed on notice that all ICB contracts shall comply with A.R.S. §40-334 as well as A.A.C. R14-2-1115. A.R.S. §40-334(A) states that public service corporations "shall not, as to rates, charges, service facilities or in any respect to make or grant any preference or advantage to any person or subject an person to any prejudice or disadvantage."

#### **Complaint Information**

- 21. Staff confirmed the Company's assertion that none of its officers, directors, partners, nor managers have been or are currently involved in any formal or informal complaint proceeding before any state or federal regulatory agency, commission, administrative or law enforcement agency.
- 22. Staff also verified that UPN's officers, directors, partners or managers have not been involved in any civil or criminal investigations, or had judgments entered in any civil matter, or by

<sup>27</sup> Response to Commission's Third Set of Data Requests docketed March 27, 2012 at 7...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Exhibit S-1 at 3.

<sup>13</sup> Exhibit A-2 at Tariff Sheet No. 14.

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

any administrative or regulatory agency, or been convicted of any criminal acts within the last ten (10) years. 14

- 23. Staff reported that no consumer complaints had been filed against UPN in Arizona or with the nine (9) public utility commissions that responded to Staff's inquiry.
  - 24. UPN is in good standing with the Commission's Corporation Division.

#### **Competitive Analysis**

- 25. UPN's application requests that its proposed private line services be classified as competitive in Arizona. Staff believes that UPN's proposed private line services should be classified as competitive because UPN will have to compete with IXCs, ILECs, and CLECs to gain a share of the private line market, and UPN will not be able to exert any power in the market it will be operating in.
- 26. Based on the above factors, Staff concludes that UPN's proposed services should be classified as competitive in Arizona.
- 27. We find that Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted. We also find that UPN's proposed services are competitive in Arizona.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. UPN is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
  - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over UPN and the subject matter of the application.
  - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. §40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a CC&N to provide competitive telecommunication services.
- Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised 5. Statutes, it is in the public interest for UPN to provide to provide facilities-based private line telecommunications services as set forth in its amended application.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> In 2009, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") Enforcement Bureau issued a Notice of Liability for Foreiture in the amount of \$20,000 based on UPN's violation for failing to timely file its annual Customer Proprietary Network Information ("CPNI") with the FCC. Based on the FCC's review of the record and the information provided by UPN, the FCC concluded that UPN was not required to file an annual CPNI and no liability was imposed on the Company.

- 6. UPN is a fit and proper entity to receive a CC&N authorizing it to provide intrastate telecommunications services in Arizona, subject to Staff's recommendations as set forth herein.
- 7. UPN's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for UPN to establish rates and charges that are not less than UPN's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
  - 9. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

## **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Unite Private Networks, LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide facilities-based long distance and facilities based local exchange telecommunications in Arizona, is hereby approved, subject to Staff's recommendations as more fully described in Findings of Fact Nos. 7 and 8.

28 ...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Unite Private Networks, LLC fails to comply with the 2 Staff recommendations described in Findings Fact No. 8, the Certificate of Convenience and 3 Necessity granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process. 4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Unite Private Networks, LLC shall abide by the provisions 5 of A.A.C. R14-2-1107. 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 7 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 8 9 CHARMAN 10 COMMISSIONER 119 12 COMMISSIONER 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive 14 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the 15 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of 16 17 18 JODI JERICH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 19 20 DISSENT 21 22 DISSENT YK:ru 23 24 25 26 27

28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: UNITE PRIVATE NETWORKS, LLC 2 DOCKET NO .: T-20534A-07-0346 Giancarlo Estrada ESTRADA-LEGAL, PC One East Camelback Road, Suite 550 Phoenix, AZ 85012 5 Attorney for Unite Private Networks, LLC 6 Lance J.M. Steinhart LANCE J.M. STEINHART, P.C. 1725 Windward Consourse, Suite 150 Alpharetta, Georgia 30005 Attorneys for Unite Private Networks, LLC Henry Kelly, Esq. KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 333 W. Wacker Drive, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor 10 Chicago, IL 60606 11 Kevin Anderson 12 Chairman & CEO UNITE PRIVATE NETWORKS, LLC 13 950 W. 92 Hwy-203 Kearney, Missouri 64060 14 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel 15 Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 16 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 17 Steven M. Olea, Director 18 **Utilities Division** ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 19 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28